UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DREAMDEALERS USA, LLC,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:12-cv-01151-GMN-PAI
VS.)
WORLD CLASS DRIVING, INC., et al,	ORDER
Defendants.)

On July 3, 2013, the Court granted the parties' Stipulation of Dismissal with prejudice and closed the case. (ECF No. 90). Now, over three years later, Plaintiff Dreamdealers USA, LLC ("Plaintiff") moves to reopen the case pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(a). (Mot. to Reopen, ECF No. 92). According to Plaintiff, the parties originally intended the Court to retain jurisdiction over the parties' settlement agreement, but inadvertently omitted such language from the stipulation of dismissal. (*Id.* 2:1–6).

Generally, federal courts lack jurisdiction to enforce settlement agreements. *O'Connor v. Colvin*, 70 F.3d 530, 532 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing *Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co.*, 511 U.S. 375 (1994)). An exception to this rule exists where the order dismissing the action incorporates the terms of the settlement agreement or otherwise expressly indicates that the court intends to retain jurisdiction over the settlement agreement. *Id.* Here, the dismissal order neither expressly reserved jurisdiction nor incorporated the terms of the settlement agreement. (*See* Order, ECF No. 90).

Nonetheless, Plaintiff argues that the Court should retain jurisdiction due to the parties' inadvertent omission of the requisite language in their stipulation. (Mot. to Reopen 11:4–12). In determining whether a mistake may be corrected under Rule 60(a), the Ninth Circuit focuses on "what the court *originally intended* to do." *Tattersalls, Ltd. v. DeHaven*, 745 F.3d 1294,